ORIGINAL





Memorandum

From the office of Chairman Doug Little Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 W. WASHINGTON

1200 W. WASHINGTON PHOENIX, ARIZONA (602) 542-0745

TO:

Docket Control

DATE:

October 28, 2016

FROM:

Chairman Doug Little's Office

SUBJECT:

ACC E-00000J-14-0023

Chairman Little's office received 5 emails referencing the above docket number. The correspondence can be viewed in Docket, or on the Commission website via the eDocket link.

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

OCI 28 2016

DOCKETED BY

14

From:

Rhoda Shulman < rhoda@shulmanfamily.net>

Sent:

Monday, October 24, 2016 12:19 PM

To:

Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web

Subject:

ACC Value of Solar Docket E-60000J-14-0023

Importance:

High

I am writing to let you know that the citizens of Arizona are watching the Arizona Corporation Commission and how it proceeds with this determination of the value of rooftop solar. If you do not vote for what is good for the people not the utilities, we will not give up and just let the utilities pay customers whatever they want or not at all. We need solar for our Arizona economy and our own pocketbooks.

Solar is important to Arizona, a state with more than 320 days of sunshine a year. Solar creates jobs and provides almost 9,000 Arizona families with incomes higher than the national average. <u>Do not kill solar in our state by allowing the utilities across our state to strengthen their monopolies</u>. This is UNAMERICAN!

We are watching what the Commission does in this important case and will not rest until our freedom of choice is secure.

Rhoda Shulman Carefree, AZ 85377 410.245.5977

From:

MICHAEL GREENBERG < mike@thejetsethost.com>

Sent:

Thursday, October 20, 2016 7:31 AM

To:

Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web

Subject:

Value of Solar Docket E-00000 J - 14-002 3

Gentlemen,


Rooftop Solar is the choice for Arizona energy. The ACC proposals not only threaten jobs but create unfair competition and strengthen the utility company monopolies. Give citizens the fair market choice to choose solar.

Thank you,

Mike

Michael Greenberg





From:

Mike Aldrich <mea@aldrichstamps.com>

Sent:

Friday, October 21, 2016 1:42 PM

To:

Tobin-Web

Cc:

Forese-Web; Little-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web

Subject:

Solar Power in our state

E-00000J-14-0023

Dear Commission member,

I am one of those Arizonians who have made an investment in solar. About a year ago our family leased a solar system which not only powers our home but also our business. I am still learning about the details but it is clear we are saving about \$3,000-3,500 per year on our historic electric costs for both systems. I am very pleased with not only the financial benefits but also the environmental benefits of our alternative electric needs. It is very clear to me, living in Arizona, solar needs to be in our future. The abundance of sun and the advances in technology make solar a very viable energy source for our state. In a time when energy problems are a major issue in our country and world, solar offers a clean and viable economic and environmental option to traditional energy sources.

In addition the success of our past personal decision to go solar has helped us in our recent decision to purchase a hybrid vehicle.

We need solar in this state and we need it in our country. Please include my family in your decisions to support not only current solar use but also the expansion of solar in our state.

Thanks for your time,

Mike Aldrich Cave Creek, AZ 480-488-1616

From:

laurelea kim <laureleakim@yahoo.com>

Sent:

Thursday, October 27, 2016 8:25 AM

To:

Tobin-Web; Foreze-web@azcc.gov; Little-Web

Subject:

Solar energy **E** 00000J-14-0013

We are a retired couple . our energy bill prior to solar was averaging 150 a month we had the solar installed on a lease at \$100 a month and now we don't use anything off the grid. Arizona is a perfect place for solar to thrive and for its citizens to live a more Comfortable and affordable lifestyle by using solar energy

Paul and Laurelea Kim Lake Havasu AZ

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

From:

Robert Follett <follett3687@gmail.com>

Sent:

Friday, October 28, 2016 1:30 PM

To:

Little-Web; Tobin-Web; Forese-Web; Stump-Web; RBurns-Web

Subject:

Value of Solar E-00000J-14-0023

Dear Commissioners,

I'm writing to you about the Value of Solar Docket. I had a roof top solar system installed on my house in the spring of 2012. At that time the US Government wanted the utilities company's to have so much of the electricity to come from green energy. The roof top solar system I have is a 10.1KW system that I leased. UniSourceEnergy at that time had an up-front incentive renewable energy credit purchase agreement, UniSourceEnergy paid the solar company \$16,192.00.

If Rood top was good for UniSourceEnergy back in 2012, WHY is it not good today for UniSourceEnergy?

UniSourceEnergy in October of every year credit solar customers for excess KWH.

Credit per KWH 2012 \$0.029003

2013 \$0.036653

2014 \$0.03697

2015 \$0.03697

2016 \$0.0248272

The Arizona Corporation Commission approved a rate increase for UniSourceEnergy customers to start on August 22,2016. One of the increases was a Basic Service Charge from \$10.00 to \$15.00 a month, that was a 50% increase for me as a solar customer. WHY a 50% increase? Now the Excess KWH Credit is DOWN by more then 40% this year. WHY??

Why is that UniSourceEnergy on longer likes SOLAR ENERGY??

Is the Arizona Corporation Commission for Solar Energy??

Arizona is a great state for Solar Energy!!

Thanks,

Robert Follett

Lake Havasu City, AZ